

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Cen

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/516,545	12/02/2004	Takeshi Ichikawa	03500.017320.	2864
5514 FITZPATRICK	7590 10/09/2007 C CELLA HARPER & SCI	EXAMINER		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			RAABE, CHRISTOPHER M	
NEW YORK,	NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2879	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<u></u> ,		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/516,545	ICHIKAWA ET AL.		
-4	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Christopher M. Raabe	2879		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address		
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Densions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. FSIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a report will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te, cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  lly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status	·				
. 1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·			
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits				
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
•	The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the	cepted or b) Objected to by			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ction is required if the drawing(s	) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) <u>□</u> a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the Copies	nts have been received. Its have been received in Appority documents have been re Bau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage		
	•				
Attachmer	nt(s)				
. —	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)		
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/	Mail Date  prmal Patent Application		

Application/Control Number: 10/516,545

Art Unit: 2879

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-30, drawn to a device.

Group II, claim(s) 31-40, drawn to a method.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: these features of independent claims 1-9 and 31 have been disclosed in JP 2001-6523 (noting, in particular figure 1 and paragraph 8): a cathode electrode (2), a layer (3a) electrically connected to the cathode electrode (2), a plurality of particles (m) arranged in the layer (3a) and having as a main component a material with resistivity lower than that of a material of the layer (3a). The remaining features not disclosed by JP 2001-6523 are not commonly shared between independent claims 1-9 and independent claim 31.

2. A telephone call was made to Jason Okun on September 27, 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher M. Raabe whose telephone number is 571-272-8434. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f 7am-3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel can be reached on 571-272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2879

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

eter Macchiarolo

Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2879